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Abstract. We prove a conjecture of Nakajima ([5], for type $A$ it was announced in $[2]$ ) giving a geometric realization, via quiver varieties, of the Yangian of type $A D E$ (and more in general of the Yangian associated to every symmetric Kac-Moody Lie algebra). As a corollary we get that the finite dimensional representation theory of the quantized affine algebra and that of the Yangian coincide.

## 1. The algebra $\mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathrm{Lg})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple, simply laced, complex Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with Cartan matrix $A=\left(a_{k l}\right)_{k, l \in I}$. Denote by $L \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ the loop Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Yangian $\mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathrm{Lg})$ is the associative algebra, free over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$, generated by $\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{h}_{k, r}(k \in I, r \in$ $\mathbb{N}$ ) with the following defining relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathbf{h}_{k, r}, \mathbf{h}_{l, s}\right]=0, \quad\left[\mathbf{h}_{k, 0}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm a_{k l} \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm},}  \tag{1.1}\\
2\left[\mathbf{h}_{k, r+1}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm}\right]-2\left[\mathbf{h}_{k, r}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s+1}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm \hbar a_{k l}\left(\mathbf{h}_{k, r} \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm}+\mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm} \mathbf{h}_{k, r}\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
{\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{+}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{-}\right]=\delta_{k l} \mathbf{h}_{k, r+s},}  \tag{1.3}\\
2\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r+1}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm}\right]-2\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s+1}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm \hbar a_{k l}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm} \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm}+\mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm} \mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
\sum_{w \in S_{m}}\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r_{w(1)}}^{ \pm},\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r_{w(2)}}^{ \pm}, \ldots,\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r_{w(m)}}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{x}_{l, s}^{ \pm}\right] \ldots\right]\right]=0, \quad k \neq l \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all sequences of non-negative integers $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}$, where $m=1-a_{k l}$. Set

$$
[n]=\frac{q^{n}-q^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

## 2. Quiver varieties.

Let $I$ (resp. $E$ ) be the set of vertices (resp. edges) of a finite graph $(I, E)$ with no edge loops. For $k, l \in I$ let $n_{k l}$ be the number of edges joining $k$ and $l$. Put $a_{k l}=2 \delta_{k l}-n_{k l}$. The map $(I, E) \mapsto A=\left(a_{k l}\right)_{k, l \in I}$ is a bijection from the set of finite graphs with no loops onto the set of symmetric generalized Cartan matrices. Let $\alpha_{k}$ and $\omega_{k}, k \in I$, be the simple roots and fundamental weights of the symmetric Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to $A$. Let $H$ be the set of edges of $(I, E)$

[^0]together with an orientation. For $h \in H$ let $h^{\prime} \in I$ (resp. $h^{\prime \prime} \in I$ ) the incoming (resp. the outcoming) vertex of $h$. If $h \in H$ we denote by $\bar{h} \in H$ the same edge with opposite orientation. Take two collection of finite dimensional complex vector spaces $V=\left(V_{k}\right)_{k \in I}, W=\left(W_{k}\right)_{k \in I}$. Let us fix once for all the following convention : the dimension of the graded vector space $V$ is identified with the element $\mathbf{v}=\sum_{k \in I} v_{k} \alpha_{k}$ in the root lattice (where $v_{k}$ is the dimension of $V_{k}$ ). Similarly the dimension of $W$ is identified with the weight $\mathbf{w}=\sum_{k} w_{k} \omega_{k}$ (where $w_{k}$ is the dimension of $W_{k}$ ). Set
$$
M(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})=\bigoplus_{h \in H} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{h^{\prime \prime}}, V_{h^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{k \in I} \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{k}, V_{k}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{k \in I} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{k}, W_{k}\right) .
$$

The group $G_{\mathbf{v}}=\prod_{k} \mathrm{GL}\left(V_{k}\right)$ acts on $M(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ by $g \cdot(B, i, j)=\left(g B g^{-1}, g i, j g^{-1}\right)$. We denote by $B_{h}$ the component of the element $B$ in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{h^{\prime \prime}}, V_{h^{\prime}}\right)$. Let us consider the map

$$
\mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}: M(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in I} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{k}, V_{k}\right), \quad(B, i, j) \mapsto \sum_{h} \varepsilon(h) B_{h} B_{\bar{h}}+i j,
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is any function $\varepsilon: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $\varepsilon(h)+\varepsilon(\bar{h})=0$. We say that a triple $(B, i, j) \in \mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}^{-1}(0)$ is stable if there is no nontrivial $B$-invariant subspace of $\operatorname{Ker} j$. Let $\mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}^{-1}(0)^{s}$ be the set of stable triples. The group $G_{\mathbf{v}}$ acts freely on $\mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}^{-1}(0)^{s}$. Put

$$
T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})=\mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}^{-1}(0)^{s} / G_{\mathbf{v}}, \quad N(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})=\mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}^{-1}(0) / / G_{\mathbf{v}}
$$

and let $\pi: T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow N(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ be the affinization map (it sends $G_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot(B, i, j)$ to the only closed $G_{\mathbf{v}}$-orbit contained in $\left.\overline{G_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot(B, i, j)}\right)$. It is proved in [4, 3.10(2)] that $T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety. Given $\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ consider the fiber product $Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2} ; \mathbf{w}\right)=T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times_{\pi} T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$. Take $\mathbf{v}^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{1}+\alpha_{k}$ where $\alpha_{k}$ is a simple root and assume that $V^{1} \subset V^{2}$ have dimension $\mathbf{v}^{1}$, $\mathbf{v}^{2}$, respectively. Consider the closed subvariety $C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ of $Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2} ; \mathbf{w}\right)$ consisting of the pairs of triples $\left(B^{1}, i^{1}, j^{1}\right),\left(B^{2}, i^{2}, j^{2}\right)$ such that $B_{\mid V^{1}}^{2}=B^{1}, i^{2}=i^{1}, j_{\mid V^{1}}^{2}=j^{1}$. Put $C_{k}^{-}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)=\varphi\left(C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right) \subset Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{v}^{1} ; \mathbf{w}\right)$ where $\varphi: T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \rightarrow$ $T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ permutes the components. The varieties $C_{k}^{ \pm}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ are nonsingular [4, 5.7]. The group $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}=G_{\mathbf{w}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$acts on $T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ by

$$
(g, t) \cdot(B, i, j)=\left(t B, t^{2} i g^{-1}, g j\right), \quad \forall g \in G_{\mathbf{w}}, \forall t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_{k}=\mu_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}^{-1}(0)^{s} \times_{G_{\mathbf{v}}} V_{k}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{k}$ be respectively the $k$-th tautological bundle and the trivial $W_{k}$-bundle on $T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. The bundles $\mathcal{V}_{k}, \mathcal{W}_{k}$, are $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}$-equivariant. The group $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}$ acts also on $N(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), C_{k}^{ \pm}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$, and $Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2} ; \mathbf{w}\right)$. Let $q$ be the trivial line bundle on $T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ with the degree one action of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. For any complex $G$-variety X let $K^{G}(X)$ be the Grothendieck ring of $G$-equivariant coherent sheaves on X. Put

$$
\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})=q^{-2} \mathcal{W}_{k}-\left(1+q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{V}_{k}+q^{-1} \sum_{h^{\prime}=k} \mathcal{V}_{h^{\prime \prime}} \in K^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}(T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}))
$$

The rank of $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is $\left(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{v} \mid \alpha_{k}\right)$, where $(\mid)$ is the standard metric on the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix a pair of linear maps $\mathbf{w} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{ \pm}$on the weight lattice which are adjoint with respect to ( $\mid ~)$, and such that $\mathbf{w}_{+}+\mathbf{w}_{-}=\mathbf{w}$ for all $\mathbf{w}$.

## 3. Equivariant homology and convolution product.

Let $G$ be a complex, connected, linear algebraic group. For any complex $G$-variety $X$, let $H_{i}^{G}(X)$ (resp. $\left.H_{G}^{i}(X)\right)$ be the $i$-th space of $G$-equivariant complex BorelMoore homology (resp. of $G$-equivariant complex cohomology). Put

$$
H^{G}(X)=\bigoplus_{i} H_{i}^{G}(X), \quad H_{G}(X)=\bigoplus_{i} H_{G}^{i}(X)
$$

See [3] for details on equivariant Borel-Moore homology. Let us only recall the following well known facts.

- If $Y$ is a closed $G$-subvariety of $X$ and $X$ is smooth, then $H^{G}(Y)=H_{G}(X, X \backslash Y)$. Moreover there is a natural map $H_{G}(X) \rightarrow H^{G}(X)$. Call $\alpha^{o} \in H^{G}(X)$ the image of $\alpha \in H_{G}(X)$. The $\cup$-product in equivariant cohomology induce, via the Poincaré duality, a product, noted $\cdot$, in equivariant homology. We will denote also by a dot the product $H_{G}(X) \otimes H^{G}(X) \rightarrow H^{G}(X)$.
- Any $G$-equivariant vector bundle $E$ on $X$ admits an equivariant Chern polynomial $\lambda_{z}(E) \in H_{G}(X)[z]$. The coefficient of $z$ in $\lambda_{z}(E)$ is the equivariant first Chern class $c_{1}(E) \in H_{G}(X)$. The coefficient of $z^{\mathrm{rk}(E)}$ in $\lambda_{z}(E)$ is the equivariant Euler class $\lambda(E) \in H_{G}(X)$. If $E$ is invertible, then $\lambda_{z}(E)=1+c_{1}(E) z$. Moreover, for any $E$ and $F$ we have $\lambda_{z}(E \oplus F)=\lambda_{z}(E) \cup \lambda_{z}(F)$. The class $\lambda_{z}(E)$ depends only on the class of $E$ in $K^{G}(X)$.
- If $T \subset G$ is a maximal torus, put $\mathfrak{t}=\operatorname{Lie}(T)$. Then $H_{G}^{2 i}(p t)=S^{2 i}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)^{W}$, where $S^{i}$ is the $i$-symmetric product and $W$ is the Weyl group.
We will use the following (see [1, Proposition 2.6.47]) :
Lemma. Let $X$ be a smooth $G$-variety and let $C_{i}(i=1,2)$ be two smooth closed $G$-subvarieties. Set $C_{3}=C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ and let $\gamma_{i}: C_{i} \hookrightarrow X(i=1,2,3)$ be the natural embedding. Suppose that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are transversal. Then, for all $\alpha \in H_{G}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\beta \in H_{G}\left(C_{2}\right)$,

$$
\gamma_{1 *}\left(\alpha^{o}\right) \cdot \gamma_{2 *}\left(\beta^{o}\right)=\gamma_{3 *}\left(\left(\alpha_{\mid C_{3}} \cup \beta_{\mid C_{3}}\right)^{o}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{\mid C_{3}}$ (resp. $\beta_{\mid C_{3}}$ ) is the restriction of $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) to $C_{3}$.
Let us recall the definition of the convolution product. Given quasi-projective $G$ varieties $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$, consider the projection $p_{i j}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3} \rightarrow X_{i} \times X_{j}$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$. Consider subvarieties $Z_{i j} \subset X_{i} \times X_{j}$ such that the restriction of $p_{13}$ to $p_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \cap p_{23}^{-1} Z_{23}$ is proper and maps to $Z_{13}$. The convolution product is the map

$$
\star: \quad H^{G}\left(Z_{12}\right) \otimes H^{G}\left(Z_{23}\right) \rightarrow H^{G}\left(Z_{13}\right), \quad \alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto p_{13 *}\left(\left(p_{12}^{*} \alpha\right) \cdot\left(p_{23}^{*}(\beta)\right)\right.
$$

See [1, 2.7 and the remark (iii), page 113] for more details on convolution product. We will essentially consider the case $X_{i}=T\left(\mathbf{v}^{i}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ and $Z_{i j}=Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{i}, \mathbf{v}^{j} ; \mathbf{w}\right)$, where $\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ and $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$.

## 4. Statement of the Result.

Let $(I, E)$ be a graph of type $A D E$. Fix $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, with $\mathbf{v}^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{1}+\alpha_{k}$. For any $k$, denote by $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{V}_{k}^{2}\right)$ the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{k} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{O}_{T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)} \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_{k}\right)$ over $T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$. The restriction to $C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}$ is a subsheaf
of $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{2}$. The quotient sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{k}^{+}=\mathcal{V}_{k}^{2} / \mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}$ is $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}$-invariant and invertible. Put $\mathcal{L}_{k}^{-}=$ $\varphi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{k}^{+}$. Consider the following varieties

$$
N(\mathbf{w})=\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}} N(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), \quad T(\mathbf{w})=\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}} T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), \quad Z(\mathbf{w})=\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}} Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime} ; \mathbf{w}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}$ take all the possible values in $\mathbb{N}[I]$. Let $\Delta^{ \pm}$be the two natural embeddings

$$
\Delta^{+}: C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \hookrightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2} ; \mathbf{w}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta^{-}: C_{k}^{-}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \hookrightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{v}^{1} ; \mathbf{w}\right) .
$$

If $r \geq 0$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k, r}^{ \pm}=\sum_{\mathbf{v}^{2}}(-1)^{\left(\alpha_{k} \mid \mathbf{v}_{ \pm}^{2}\right)} \Delta_{*}^{ \pm}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{k}^{ \pm}\right)^{o}\right)^{r} \in H^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}(Z(\mathbf{w})) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta: T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \times T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ be the diagonal embedding and set $\hbar=$ $c_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)^{o}$. Define $h_{k, r}$ as the coefficient of $\hbar z^{-r-1}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-1+\sum_{\mathbf{v}} \Delta_{*} \frac{\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\right)}{\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(q^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\right)}\right)^{-} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where - stands for the expansion at $z=\infty$. The following result was conjectured by Nakajima ([5, Introduction], in [2] the result was announced for type $A$ ).
Theorem. For all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, the map $\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm} \mapsto x_{k, r}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{h}_{k, r} \mapsto h_{k, r}$ extends uniquely to an algebra homomorphism $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}}: \mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathrm{Lg}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}(Z(\mathbf{w}))$.
Remark. We can prove a similar result for any symmetric Kac-Moody algebra. Let $A=\left(a_{k l}\right)_{k, l \in I}$ be a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix. In the definition of the Yangian, the relation (1.4) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r+1}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{x}_{k, s}^{ \pm}\right]-\left[\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{x}_{k, s+1}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm \hbar\left(\mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm} \mathbf{x}_{k, s}^{ \pm}+\mathbf{x}_{k, s}^{ \pm} \mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm}\right)} \\
\eta_{-a_{k l}}\left(z \mp \frac{\hbar}{2}, w\right) \mathbf{x}_{k}^{ \pm}(z) \mathbf{x}_{l}^{ \pm}(w)=\eta_{-a_{k l}}\left(z, w \mp \frac{\hbar}{2}\right) \mathbf{x}_{l}^{ \pm}(w) \mathbf{x}_{k}^{ \pm}(z) \quad(\text { if } k \neq l)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k}^{ \pm}(z)=\sum_{r \geq 0} \mathbf{x}_{k, r}^{ \pm} z^{-r}, \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{a}(z, w)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(z-w+(1+a-2 j) \hbar / 2) .
$$

In this case the action of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$on $T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and the complex $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ has to be changed as in [5]. In the proof of the theorem there are only minor and evident changes to do.

## 5. Proof of the Result.

The proof is as in [5, sections 10 and 11] : we check relations (1.1), (1.2), (1.5) and relations (1.3) and (1.4) in the case $k \neq l$ by direct computation. Relations (1.3) and (1.4) in the case $k=l$ are proved by reduction to the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-case. We insist here only on the parts which need different calculations.

Relation (1.1). It is an immediate consequence of the definition, since for all $x \in$ $H^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}\left(Z\left(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime} ; \mathbf{w}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{k, 0} \star x=\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) x=\left(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{v} \mid \alpha_{k}\right) x, \\
& x \star h_{k, 0}=\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{w}\right) x=\left(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mid \alpha_{k}\right) x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Relation (1.2). We prove only the plus case, the minus being similar. Fix $\mathbf{v}^{2}=$ $\mathbf{v}^{1}+\alpha_{l}$. We identify $\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ with their pull-back to $C_{l}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ via the 1 -st and the 2 -nd projection. Then, in $\mathrm{K}^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}\left(C_{l}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)-q^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)-q^{2} \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)+\left[a_{k l}\right]\left(q^{-1}-q\right) \mathcal{L}_{l}^{+} .
$$

It follows that $\left[h_{k, r}, x_{l, s}^{+}\right] \in H^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}\left(C_{l}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right)$ is the coefficient of $\hbar z^{-r-1}$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)-q^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right)-\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)-q^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right)\right)^{-} x_{l, s}^{+}= \\
& \quad=\left(\left(\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\left[a_{k l}\right]\left(q^{-1}-q\right) \mathcal{L}_{l}^{+}\right)-1\right) \lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)-q^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right)\right)^{-} x_{l, s}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{s}=\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)-q^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right) x_{l, s}^{+}, \\
X=\lambda_{-1 / z}\left(\left[a_{k l}\right]\left(q^{-1}-q\right) \mathcal{L}_{l}^{+}\right)=\frac{1-\left(c_{l}^{+}-a_{k l} \hbar / 2\right) z^{-1}}{1-\left(c_{l}^{+}+a_{k l} \hbar / 2\right) z^{-1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the LHS and the RHS of the relation (1.2) are respectively equal to the coefficient of $\hbar z^{-r-1}$ in
$\left(2 z(X-1) A_{s}-2(X-1) A_{s+1}\right)^{-}=\left(2(X-1)\left(z-c_{l}^{+}\right) A_{s}\right)^{-} \quad$ and $\quad\left(\hbar a_{k l}(X+1) A_{s}\right)^{-}$.
We are then reduced to the identity, easily checked, in $H^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}\left(C_{l}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right)\right)$ :

$$
2(X-1)\left(z-c_{l}^{+}\right)=\hbar a_{k l}(X+1)
$$

Relation (1.3) with $k \neq l . \operatorname{Fix} \mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{v}^{3}$, such that

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{1}-\alpha_{l}=\mathbf{v}^{3}-\alpha_{k}=\mathbf{v}^{2}-\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{l} .
$$

If $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$, consider the projections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i j}: T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\mathbf{v}^{i}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{j}, \mathbf{w}\right), \\
& \tilde{p}_{i j}: T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{i}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{j}, \mathbf{w}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{1}=\mathbf{v}^{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{3}=\mathbf{v}^{3}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{k, r}^{+} \star x_{l, s}^{-}=(-1)^{\left(\alpha_{k} \mid \mathbf{v}_{+}^{2}\right)+\left(\alpha_{l} \mid \mathbf{v}_{-}^{3}\right)} p_{13 *}\left(p_{12}^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}^{2} / \mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}\right)^{o r}\right) \cdot p_{23}^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{l}^{3} / \mathcal{V}_{l}^{2}\right)^{o s}\right)\right), \\
& x_{l, s}^{-} \star x_{k, r}^{+}=(-1)^{\left(\alpha_{l} \mid \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{-}^{2}\right)+\left(\alpha_{k} \mid \mathbf{v}_{+}^{3}\right)} \tilde{p}_{13 *}\left(\tilde{p}_{12}^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{l}^{2} / \mathcal{V}_{l}^{1}\right)^{o s}\right) \cdot \tilde{p}_{23}^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}^{3} / \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k}^{2}\right)^{o r}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is proved in [5, Lemma 10.2.1] that the intersections

$$
p_{12}^{-1} C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \cap p_{23}^{-1} C_{l}^{-}\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{p}_{12}^{-1} C_{l}^{-}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \cap \tilde{p}_{23}^{-1} C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right)
$$

are transversal in $T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ and $T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ and that there exists a $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}$-equivariant isomorphisms between them which induces the isomorphisms :

$$
\mathcal{V}_{k}^{2} / \mathcal{V}_{k}^{1} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{k}^{3} / \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{l}^{2} / \mathcal{V}_{l}^{1} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{l}^{3} / \mathcal{V}_{l}^{2}
$$

The result follows from the lemma in section 3.
Relation (1.4) with $k \neq l$. We prove only the plus case. Fix $\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{v}^{3}$, such that

$$
\mathbf{v}^{3}=\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}+\alpha_{k}=\mathbf{v}^{2}+\alpha_{l}=\mathbf{v}^{1}+\alpha_{k}+\alpha_{l} .
$$

Consider the projections $p_{i j}$ and $\tilde{p}_{i j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 3)$ as before. The intersections
$Z_{k l}=p_{12}^{-1} C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \cap p_{23}^{-1} C_{l}^{-}\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right) \quad$ and $\quad Z_{l k}=\tilde{p}_{12}^{-1} C_{l}^{-}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \cap \tilde{p}_{23}^{-1} C_{k}^{+}\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right)$
are transversal in $T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ and $T\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{2}, \mathbf{w}\right) \times T\left(\mathbf{v}^{3}, \mathbf{w}\right)$ (see [5, Lemma 10.3.1]). Since $k \neq l$, the restriction of $p_{13}$ and $\tilde{p}_{13}$ to $Z_{k l}$ and $Z_{l k}$ is an embedding into $Z\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{3} ; \mathbf{w}\right)$. Call it $\iota_{k l}$ and $\iota_{l k}$ respectively. Put $b_{k}=$ $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}^{3}-\mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}\right), b_{l}=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{l}^{3}-\mathcal{V}_{l}^{1}\right)$. We have (see the lemma in section 3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{k, r}^{+} \star x_{l, s}^{+}=(-1)^{\left(\alpha_{k} \mid \mathbf{v}_{+}^{2}\right)+\left(\alpha_{l} \mid \mathbf{v}_{+}^{3}\right)} \iota_{k l *}\left(\left(p_{12}^{*}\left(b_{k}^{r}\right)_{\mid Z_{k l}} \cup p_{23}^{*}\left(b_{l}^{s}\right)_{\mid Z_{k l}}\right)^{o}\right), \\
& x_{l, s}^{+} \star x_{k, r}^{+}=(-1)^{\left(\alpha_{l} \mid \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{+}^{2}\right)+\left(\alpha_{k} \mid \mathbf{v}_{+}^{3}\right)} \iota_{l k *}\left(\left(p_{12}^{*}\left(b_{k}^{r}\right)_{\mid Z_{l k}} \cup p_{23}^{*}\left(b_{l}^{s}\right)_{\mid Z_{k l}}\right)^{o}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $h \in H$ such that $h^{\prime}=l$ and $h^{\prime \prime}=k$. The map $B_{\bar{h}}$ may be viewed as a section of the $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{k l}=q\left(\mathcal{V}_{l}^{3} / \mathcal{V}_{l}^{1}\right)^{*} \otimes\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}^{3} / \mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}\right)$ on $p_{13}\left(Z_{k l}\right)$ (where we set $\mathcal{E}_{k l}=0$ if $\left.a_{k l}=0\right)$. Similarly $B_{h}$ is a section of the $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{l k}=q\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}^{3} / \mathcal{V}_{k}^{1}\right)^{*} \otimes\left(\mathcal{V}_{l}^{3} / \mathcal{V}_{l}^{1}\right)$ on $\tilde{p}_{13}\left(Z_{l k}\right)$ (where again we set $\mathcal{E}_{l k}=0$ if $a_{k l}=0$ ). In [5, 10.3.9] it is proved that $B_{\bar{h}}$ and $B_{h}$ are transversal to the zero section respectively. Moreover

$$
p_{13}\left(Z_{k l}\right) \cap B_{\bar{h}}^{-1}(0)=\tilde{p}_{13}\left(Z_{l k}\right) \cap B_{h}^{-1}(0) .
$$

Then,

$$
\iota_{k l *}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{k l}\right)^{o}\right) x_{k, r}^{+} \star x_{l, s}^{+}=(-1)^{a_{k l}} \iota_{l k *}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{l k}\right)^{o}\right) x_{l, s}^{+} \star x_{k, r}^{+},
$$

i.e.

$$
\iota_{k l *}\left(b_{k}^{o}-b_{l}^{o}+\hbar / 2\right) x_{k, r}^{+} \star x_{l, s}^{+}=\iota_{l k *}\left(b_{l}^{o}-b_{k}^{o}+\hbar / 2\right) x_{l, s}^{+} \star x_{k, r}^{+} .
$$

The relation (1.4) follows immediately from this.
Relations (1.3) and (1.4) with $k=l$. Thank to the same argument than in [5, 11.3] we are reduce to the case of $(I, E)$ of type $A_{1}$. In this case $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are identified with natural numbers, so let us call them $v$ and $w$. Moreover we will omit everywhere the subindex 1. Let $\operatorname{Gr}_{v}(w)$ be the variety of $v$-dimensional subspaces in $W$. It is easy to see that $T(v, w) \simeq T^{*} \operatorname{Gr}_{v}(w)$. The group $G_{w}$ acts in the obvious way on
$T(v, w)$. The group $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$acts by scalar multiplication on the fibers of the cotangent bundle. Fix $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{w}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{\tilde{G}_{w}}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{v}(w)\right)=\mathbb{C}\left[q^{ \pm 1}, T_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, T_{w}^{ \pm 1}\right]^{S_{v} \times S_{w-v}} \\
& \wedge^{i} \mathcal{V}=e_{i}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{v}\right), \quad \wedge^{i} \mathcal{W}=e_{i}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the $i$-th elementary symmetric function. We get

$$
\mathcal{F}(v, w)=q^{-2} \mathcal{W}-\left(1+q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{V}=q^{-2}\left(T_{v+1}+\cdots+T_{w}\right)-\left(T_{1}+\cdots+T_{v}\right) .
$$

Put $t_{k}=c_{1}\left(T_{k}\right)^{o}$. Then $H^{\tilde{G}_{w}}(T(w))=\oplus_{v=0}^{w} \mathbb{C}\left[\hbar, t_{1}, \ldots t_{w}\right]^{S_{v} \times S_{w-v}}$. The following lemma is proved as in [1, Claim 7.6.7].
Lemma. The space $H^{\tilde{G}_{w}}(T(w))$ is a faithful module over $H^{\tilde{G}_{w}}(Z(w))$.
The operators $x_{r}^{ \pm}$on $H^{\tilde{G}_{w}}(T(w))$ can be written down explicitely. Put

$$
O(v, w)=\left\{\left(V^{1}, V^{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Gr}_{v-1}(w) \times \operatorname{Gr}_{v}(w) \mid V^{1} \subset V^{2}\right\} .
$$

The Hecke correspondence $C^{+}(v, w)$ is the conormal bundle to $O(v, w)$. Consider the projections $p_{1}, p_{2}$ from $O(v, w)$ to the first and the second component and let $\pi: T(v, w) \rightarrow G r_{v}(w)$ be the projection. We can prove as in [6, Lemme 5] that if $\alpha \in H_{\tilde{G}_{w}}(O(v, w))$ and $\beta \in H^{\tilde{G}_{w}}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{v}(w)\right)$, then

$$
\pi^{*}(\alpha) \star \pi^{*}(\beta)=p_{1 *}\left(\lambda\left(q^{2} T^{*} p_{1}\right) \cdot \alpha \cdot p_{2}{ }^{*} \beta\right),
$$

where $T^{*} p_{1}$ is the relative cotangent bundle to $p_{1}$. The map $p_{1}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{w-v}$-fibration, then we have

$$
\lambda\left(q^{2} T^{*} p_{1}\right)=\prod_{m=v+1}^{w}\left(t_{m}-t_{v}+\hbar\right) \in H^{\tilde{G}_{w}}(O(v, w))
$$

Let us introduce the following notation. Fix $z \in[1, w]=\{1,2, \ldots, w\}$ and let $I=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $[1, w]$ into two subset of cardinality $z$ and $w-z$ respectively, say $I_{1}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{z}\right\}, I_{2}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{w-z}\right\}$. Then put

$$
f\left(t_{I_{1}} ; t_{I_{2}}\right)=f\left(t_{a_{1}}, t_{a_{2}}, \ldots, t_{a_{z}}, t_{b_{1}}, t_{b_{2}}, \ldots, t_{b_{w-z}}\right) .
$$

Thus (see $\left[6\right.$, Lemme 1]), for any $f \in \mathbb{C}\left[\hbar, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{w}\right]^{S_{v} \times S_{w-v}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{r}^{+}(f)\left(t_{[1, v-1]} ; t_{[v, w]}\right)=\sum_{k=v}^{w} f\left(t_{[1, v-1] \cup\{k\}} ; t_{[v, w] \backslash\{k\}}\right) t_{k}^{r} \prod_{m \in[v, w] \backslash\{k\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{k}-t_{m}}\right), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x_{r}^{-}(f)\left(t_{[1, v+1]} ; t_{[v+2, w]}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{v+1} f\left(t_{[1, v+1] \backslash\{k\}} ; t_{[v+2, w] \cup\{k\}}\right) t_{k}^{r} \prod_{m \in[1, v+1] \backslash\{k\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{m}-t_{k}}\right)$.

We have

$$
\lambda_{-z} \mathcal{F}(v, w)=\frac{\prod_{m=v+1}^{w}\left(1-z\left(t_{m}-\hbar\right)\right)}{\prod_{m=1}^{v}\left(1-z\left(t_{m}+1\right)\right)} .
$$

Thus $h_{r}(f)$ is the coefficient of $\hbar z^{-r-1}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\prod_{m=1}^{v} \frac{z-t_{m}-\hbar}{z-t_{m}} \prod_{m=v+1}^{w} \frac{z-t_{m}+\hbar}{z-t_{m}}\right)^{-} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition. Relations (1.3) and (1.4) hold in the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-case.
Proof. Let us prove relation (1.3). Fix $f \in \mathbb{C}\left[\hbar, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{w}\right]^{S_{v} \times S_{w-v}}$. Using formulas (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{s}^{-} x_{r}^{+}(f)\right)\left(t_{[1, v]} ; t_{[v+1, w]}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{v} \sum_{k \in[v+1, w] \cup\{l\}} f\left(t_{([1, v] \backslash\{l\}) \cup\{k\}} ; t_{([v+1, w] \cup\{l\}) \backslash\{k\}}\right) t_{l}^{s} t_{k}^{r} X_{k l}, \\
& \left(x_{r}^{+} x_{s}^{-}(f)\right)\left(t_{[1, v]} ; t_{[v+1, w]}\right)=\sum_{l \in[1, v] \cup\{k\}} \sum_{k=v+1}^{w} f\left(t_{([1, v] \cup\{k\}) \backslash\{l\}} ; t_{([v+1, w] \backslash\{k\}) \cup\{l\}}\right) t_{l}^{s} t_{k}^{r} Y_{k l},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{k l}=\prod_{m \in[1, v] \backslash\{l\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{m}-t_{l}}\right) \prod_{n \in[v+1, w] \cup\{l\} \backslash\{k\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{k}-t_{n}}\right), \\
& Y_{k l}=\prod_{m \in[1, v] \cup\{k\} \backslash\{l\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{m}-t_{l}}\right) \prod_{n \in[v+1, w] \backslash\{k\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{k}-t_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms with $k \neq l$ cancel out in the bracket. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[x_{r}^{+}, x_{s}^{-}\right](f)=f \sum_{k=v+1}^{w} t_{k}^{s} t_{k}^{r} \prod_{m \in[1, v]}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{m}-t_{k}}\right) \prod_{n \in[v+1, w] \backslash\{k\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{k}-t_{n}}\right)-} \\
& -f \sum_{l=1}^{v} t_{l}^{s} t_{l}^{r} \prod_{m \in[1, v] \backslash\{l\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{m}-t_{l}}\right) \prod_{n \in[v+1, w]}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{l}-t_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
A(z)=\prod_{m=1}^{w}\left(z-t_{m}\right), \quad B(z)=\prod_{m=1}^{v}\left(z-t_{m}-\hbar\right) \prod_{m=v+1}^{w}\left(z-t_{m}+\hbar\right)
$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$
\hbar\left[x_{r}^{+}, x_{s}^{-}\right](f)=f \sum_{k=1}^{w} t_{k}^{r+s} \frac{B\left(t_{k}\right)}{A^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)}=f \operatorname{res}_{\infty} z^{r+s} \frac{B(z)}{A(z)} .
$$

This is the definition of $h_{r+s}(f)$ given in (5.3). As for the relation (1.4), note that, using (5.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{s}^{+} x_{r}^{+}(f)\right)\left(t_{[1, v-2]} ; t_{[v-1, w]}\right)= \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=v-1}^{w} \sum_{k \in[v-1, w] \backslash\{l\}} f\left(t_{[1, v-2] \cup\{k, l\}} ; t_{[v-1, w] \backslash\{k, l\}}\right) t_{l}^{s} t_{k}^{r} Z_{k l},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Z_{k l}=\prod_{n \in[v-1, w] \backslash\{l\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{l}-t_{n}}\right) \prod_{m \in[v-1, w] \backslash\{k, l\}}\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{t_{k}-t_{m}}\right)
$$

The relation in the plus case follows now by a direct computation.
Relation (1.5). The proof is exactly as in [5, 10.4], so we omit it .
Remark. Nakajima [5, Theorem 9.4.1] has proved that there exists an algebra morphism

$$
\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}: \mathbf{U}_{q}(\mathrm{Lg}) \rightarrow K^{\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{w}}}(Z(\mathbf{w})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]} \mathbb{C}(q),
$$

where the algebra to the left is the quantized enveloping algebra of Lg and the algebra to the right is equipped with the convolution product. Using $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}$ we can construct the finite dimensional simple modules of $\mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}(L \mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{U}_{q}(L \mathfrak{g})$ respectively (see [5, section 14]). In particular $\mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}(L \mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{U}_{q}(L \mathfrak{g})$ have the same finite dimensional representation theory. More precisely let $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{D}$ ) be the abelian category of finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}_{q}(\mathrm{Lg})$-(resp. $\mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathrm{Lg})$-)modules such that the Drinfeld polynomials of the simple factors have roots in $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}$ ).
Proposition. The characters (as $\mathbf{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules resp.) of the simple finite dimensional modules in $\mathfrak{C}$ and in $\mathfrak{D}$ are the same.
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